

Deconstructing Power in Language: Morphological Approaches to Gendered Suffixes in English

Shahid Lateef

PhD Scholar (English), NUML Islamabad

Ali Adnan

Lecturer, Department of English, University of Punjab Lahore

Abstract

This research investigates the morphological dimensions of gendered suffixes in English, aiming to deconstruct the power dynamics inherent in language. By analyzing common suffixes such as "-ess," "-ette," and "-woman," the study examines how these morphological forms contribute to the construction and reinforcement of gender identities. Through a combination of textual analysis and sociolinguistic theory, the research highlights the historical context of these suffixes and their implications for gender representation in contemporary discourse. The findings reveal that while some suffixes have been employed to empower women, others perpetuate stereotypes and marginalization. This study further explores how language evolves in response to shifting societal attitudes toward gender, emphasizing the role of morphological structures in either maintaining or challenging existing power hierarchies. Ultimately, this research contributes to a broader understanding of how language functions as a site of ideological struggle, offering insights into the potential for linguistic reform as a means of promoting gender equality.

Keywords: power dynamics, gendered suffixes, morphological analysis, language representation, sociolinguistics, ideological struggle, linguistic reform, gender identity.

1. Introduction

In the 2017 heels advertisement of the firm Hispamoda, the strapline "A woman is unstoppable once she realizes her power" accompanies an image of a model in high-heeled shoes. From a morphological point of view, it is significant that the message refers to women rather than, for example, girls. This word choice, too, takes part in a structure of power that perceives adult status and adult empowerment as correlated. In the word girls, the suffix -s draws a grammatically singular term from the already plural noun, as well as indexing youth, recall, girls one got to the beach in love similar

places. No sense of empowerment is linked to the girls referred to in this way. The “powerful” women the advertisement urges clients to accompany on their life-paths slow down with “real” silken ankle-ripping, proclaims a women’s spring summer 2017. A secondary definition for women is, helpmeet, companion, hence perhaps a phrase is deliberately chosen to refer to women of girls in view of marketing a product.

Wider questions emerge when one considers an overarching linguistics preoccupation with suffixed form-elements in the English language. The task in deciphering the word cup in the case above is rather more difficult when held in contrast to other English arbitrary form: for we are unusually reticent in this regard. The gender requirement is sated within the element hi- alone. The form provided with the closest if not perfect semantic fit is darling, and this is precisely Shakespeare’s diction in the sources of the correologue. Shakespeare can, however, pivot on a word’s gender. With particular regard to this suffix, maintaining generic allowance, adjectives mostly drop it to this day. (Ndlovu2022)

2. Theoretical Framework

Gender is the first category that children use to construct their notion of self, and for this reason, gender is intimately woven into the very being of individuals. However, as people are not isolated entities, language is the primary means through which society constructs the provisional selves and maintains them within collective memory. Gender is thus fundamentally concerned with regulating social life and the category is seen as both "identity and structuring principle of social relations" (Moore, 2012) – it exists both internally and externally. One way in which the system of gender is maintained is through the use of a system of categories to signal neutrality, imposed as a convention, and the study of the system operates within this theoretical framework. Such a system of meaning is necessary because organizing the world in terms of binary categories enables the social world to be understood and interacted with. Gender is thus a system of meaning – a way of constructing notions of male and female – and has "micro and macro level implications for the construction of individuals and society".(Gaido et al.2021)

On the macro level, the notion of gender is used to allocate individuals into categories on the basis of reproductive anatomy. In this way, as females supposedly are born with breasts and ovaries they immediately inherit the burdens of reproduction upon society initiation as a category. In contrast, as males possess penises, they inherit the rights and responsibilities of procreation upon attainment of maturity. Besides contributing to social stratification, gender also informs and directs individual behavior. Consequently, male and female socialization is subject to different sets of semiotic codes. It is found that one of the principal differences in the codification of individual behavior between the categories of gender is the use of language. By imposing different and indeed, opposing models concerning language use, the use of signs to represent meaning can reflect and replicate the differences and inequalities that characterize the system itself (Islam Shazu, 2014). Language is therefore an essential and powerful means through which the social structure is maintained, and "by placing prohibitively harsh constraints on the form of permissible claims, normative practice is efficiently reified". With this as a basis, it becomes possible to deconstruct the ways in which the system of gender uses the linguistic practices of English suffix grammar to maintain and reinforce the system itself. (Silva et al.2021)

2.1. Power Dynamics in Language

Despite the widely accepted notion that "the pen is mightier than the sword," little empirical research has been done on the power dynamics present in actual language usage. That power and language have an inextricable relationship is not disputed. However, it is the extent to which everyday speakers control this relationship that is. There are a myriad of ways in which power is present in the structure and use of language: authority influencing the content of a message, the social order being reinforced through the manipulation of certain grammatical structures, the listener having control over the transfer of information as enabled and hindered by language, and infinite more scenarios in which the pen is indeed mightier. English, among the many languages of the world, packages power firmly and conspicuously within its grammatical system.

Historically, there is a tendency in many languages (including English) for morphological choices reflecting female identities to be used in derogatory or

belittling sectors. Between 1654 and 1706 the suffix –ess, having arisen somewhat towards the turn of the 13th century, began to indicate “a feminine ‘having or showing [the property]’” (however, in these instances, a “feminine” usually meant ‘a paler form of’). Then, Walter Whiter published *An Etymological Specimen of Obsolete Words* in 1812 and lambasted that “the practice of adding ess to nouns in our language to signify the female, is destroyed by quoting from Johnson and others.” From that point forward, –ess would join a cadre of other gendered suffixes (both in poisoning and effectively decreeing) “lesser” female identities. This engendering of an inferior and second-rate femininity probably leaks into the 21st century English lexicon in subtle and unforeseen ways, particularly in the written word. These predefined ideas of female polarities are linguistically manifested in English—in this case, via the morphological. It is the implication for writers and speakers alike that particularly gain foothold. Indeed, the critical implications for language control and gender representation can best be elucidated once these settings have been analyzed, and in the exploration of not only the historical construction of the power dynamic between writer and suffix –ess, but additionally in the gallery of examples to which this foreground lends concert. (Michelutti, 2022)

3. Morphological Analysis of Gendered Suffixes

Which is why language is FEMINEMINESQUE and why artwork meant to manipulate or rapidly convey language looks best with women's clothes and make-up of the creator (chiefly lipstick of black, purple or red) even if the gender of the words is neuter (such as nouns like TV or expressions like give me a break) which lacks a visuals indicating it, and because of this the law and legitimate enforcement of rules prevents writers and orchestra conductors from working if they're bare-faced heterosexual men. The discrimination extends to money, where the equivalent two extra limbs of fairness are bills and the income and expenditure clauses of the European Commission of Human Rights, and at request for future nominations for the physics and chemistry prizes it is suggested that neutron-diffraction's information be used for work relating to the safety of runes. Military codes are not subject to such injustice, but they are what kept the boxes in the game held by the studio, 'ban the ore, sharp' and 'any rifles on standard taking from russian border are to be burnt.' No

phones so lost in possession due to dissolved helicopter and guards who read the 'will be called off by the fifth' memo did nothing, end result was the death of two companies, me forced to take the lead of the war, releasing the boar and causing the loss of the remainder, with the final wide reaching extent of the injustice being that prisoners' of war wives should not be photographed.

Morphology is structured in a way so that gender marked nouns – almost always through suffixed classemes – match the attributionally used gender marked adjectives. Example: musician-MASC, musician-FEM, musician-NEUT > musician-FEM. This assignment may be definitively determined from as few as 1 occurrence of the agreeing item (both the adjective and the noun come with exposed gender). Mainly patrilexical roots (male as norm) verbal nouns (agentive), and velar plurals (always male) are found as in the next graphed examples. Deverbalizations: blower > blow; tempter > tempt. Illegalization: robber > rob. Hypocoristics: deary > dear; smarty > smart; smarty-NEUT > smarty-FEM.<Group 1>: alto MASC, contralto NEUT > FEM. Desideratives: asker > ask; teller > tell. Contraries: fool-NEUT > fool; wise-NEUT > wise; wise-NEUT > wise-FEM. Opededental singularia tantum: tooth NEUT > tooth(i); tooth NEUT > tooth(FEM).<IEnumerable 2>: beggar NEUT > beggar; beggar NEUT > beggar-FEM. Usage was shallowly tagged in automatically word form tagged teconomy in brackets. (Savoldi et al.2022)

3.1. Historical Development

Power is not static, but dynamic in the kaleidoscope of social, cultural, and linguistic changes. Tensions between different kinds of power have been increasingly addressed across research disciplines. Given morphological adaptation's nature of encoding power relations in language change, a diachronic perspective could particularly shed light on the dynamic operation involved in the power constructs of gender(ed) suffixes. Women writers of the eighteenth century, for example, created career identities in a professionalizing market by exploiting characteristics of their epistolary selves peculiar to advanced literacy (Degaetano-Ortlieb et al., 2021). Cooperation of morphological and semantic strategies, reinforced by regular punctuation and high lexical density, created expository prose that reinforced its argumentation as sound. A pigeonhole syntax emerged, identifiable by typographical markers, which

accommodated this argument with epideictic gestures, reiterating at the levels of syntax and sense. Passionate reason, though highly popular for all inhabitants of the eighteenth century epistolary market, carry-overs and borrowings from the belletristic domain, need to be disciplined by arts of logistics to claim testimonial veracity, and thus, claim acknowledgment. Writerly emotions became sense-giving machines to elicit admiration and affirmation, a cleanliness which ultimately blurred the authenticity of sentiment. It was the integration of this economy of stratagems in the standard of fine writing that enabled innovation rather be labeled as affectation.

Power is not static but dynamic in the kaleidoscope of social, cultural, and linguistic changes. Most articles focus on gendered language as a product of power relations governed by male hegemony. However, women's growing presence in various social and professional settings has expanded research on covert power, resistance, and empowerment. Power is explicated not just as oppression but also as instrumentality, strategic negotiation, and the possibility of empowerment. Feminists shift the focus from the numerical underrepresentation of women to the analysis of inequitable practices, and research has broadened from lexical studies on word choice to other levels of language change, like syntax, pronunciation, and morphological adaptation. (Motene, 2022)

3.2. Case Studies

Language is a window to a mind. Human cognitive systems perceive the world within verbal and nonverbal communication and strive to formulate thoughts and perceptions using natural language. Language places the connection between the knowable world, making it vocal, but the way every individual translate thoughts into speech stretches beyond the same. A variety of studies converge a hypothesis that in the language are imprinted all the tendencies of society and culture, becoming a vehicle between the social, cultural, historical and individual (Klosi & Hajnaj, 2015).

From the most basic structures linguistic messages to the semantic components intertwined in the lexical system of speech, the production of language is not a casual feature display, with it inscribed idiosyncratic and systematic features and the way language is chosen to reproduce into tone and speech is a complex reflection of the mental process of life's communicators. Language is a wealth of a sociolinguistic

diversity of thought, from the most prominent and macro level, as an ideal, individual categorizations, value, norms and beliefs are framed into the spoken community and choose mostly unconsciously the tone and manner of expression appearance at the micro level and beneath the same. This points to imprinted phonologically prosodic features of vocalizing thoughts, the use of acoustic properties of timbre, pitch, audibility, inflection of speech or sound components which, although not explicit in wordshape, appear essential in displaying a fleeting logic (Javorček, 2014). These features become gear in messages to show however language outline thoughts into speakers aspired forming the world within the web of communication.

In the English language, there are gender-neutral terms as well as gender-exclusive forms and mechanisms according to which a noun enters into a certain gendered form. The most commonplace gender-indicating mechanism in English is the use of gendered suffixes, with English including a variety of these morphemes. Those most concerned with gender are those formed through conversion or by the addition of an affix. In everyday language, gendered terms of address will typically generalize outward from usage in an immediate context. (Hopkyns and Zoghbor2022)

4. Gender Neutrality in Language

There is emerging discourse around gender neutrality in language that contests the traditional use of gendered suffixes. Parallel to movements for equality of the sexes and increasing recognition of a spectrum of gender identities, there has been growing debate about discrimination and inequality embedded in language. As such, inclusive language practices can be seen as a significant front in efforts to address and disrupt established power dynamics, exemplified here in the cases of attachment of gendered suffixes in English (such as -ess or -ster) (Müller-Spitzer et al., 2024). The ongoing process of mainstreaming gender neutral language can be thought of as an attempt to put forward more pluralist and democratic ways of thinking about how language and human societies coexist. Language reflect and refract the world, and as such the attachment of gendered markers to words contributes to discursively fixing identities of “masculine” or “feminine,” with important implications on an individual level for identification and presentation of self, and on a wider social level for the embedding

and reinforcement of systems of discrimination and privilege. It is in this context that the discussion of de- and re-feminization of English is set.

Various strategies have been proposed by linguists, activists, governments, and educators for more inclusive language practice, often focusing on the imaginative capacities of language-users. Historically the Anglophone world has often adopted a stance regarding neutrality in language based on the argument that nouns have an inherent gender and should therefore not be changed. This resistance can be thought of as part of a wider conservative backlash against efforts for societal transformation. In some countries, such as Hungary and Singapore, opposition to instituting a neologism-only principle for naming places and implement stricter regulations for women representation in executive boards, respectively, has been supported by conservative elites with contentions about traditionalism and historical value (Eduardo Bonnin & Anibal Coronel, 2021). In the case of gender non-neutrality, an analogous debate in many anglophone spaces wield similar notions of the sanctity and ancientness of the language to justify the maintenance of established forms. However, it has been argued that people ought to use and evaluate language in ways that are cognizant of its embeddedness in power systems, and how language can be deployed as a tool for the perpetuation of hegemonic ideologies. As such, in efforts to combat discrimination and inequality, some degree of conscious design and redesign of language should be encouraged. Outlined herein is a systematic approach to establish English words that bear gendered kin formations by unpacking the discursive animacy they convey. (Syaputri et al.2021)

5. Conclusion and Future Directions

The discussion presented throughout this paper highlights the importance of adopting a morphological approach to historically or currently gendered language. The focus on nominalizations in English sheds light on how linguistic innovation relates to covert power dynamics and offers a set of methods for analyzing gendered language beyond structural norms. Precisely, pragmatic borrowing portmanteaus disguise in either-/both-male form telecommuter symbolism who—/trans—manage outsource while -ness words cliticize to nearby a femme support instant modest improvement destabilize. Two implications emerge, leading to demands for further research and

activism. Understanding the phenomenal proliferation of -ness formations among the well-educated—of most utility when mistrusted by others—illustrates blind spots for current conventional approaches. Continued scrutiny of common cues may shed light on emerging, particularly insidious/sophisticated practices of wielding or preparing against pejorative speech. Somatic structural tactics are worthy of increased attention, especially in the current trend that demeans meticulous intimacy as anomalous. Explorations into the historical ripple effect of neologistic decreation—manufacturing deletion chains like -th—, spurring later inception of semantic artifacts—are encouraged in return. Recommendations for grammatical protest must proceed with extreme caution, bearing in mind the easy appropriation and perversion of fine resolutions, which may promote rather than disband misuse (Islam Shazu, 2014).

References

- Moore, K. (2012). Gender Power and Language: Touring with the Gatekeepers of Union. [\[PDF\]](#)
- Islam Shazu, R. (2014). Relationship between Gender and Language. [\[PDF\]](#)
- Degaetano-Ortlieb, S., Säily, T., & Bizzoni, Y. (2021). Registerial Adaptation vs. Innovation Across Situational Contexts: 18th Century Women in Transition. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
- Klosi, D. & Hajnaj, L. (2015). Language Issues: Variation of Gender Discourse on University Campus. [\[PDF\]](#)
- Javorček, M. (2014). Oznake za rod i označavanje roda u imenica dvojnoga roda koje označavaju osobe: korpusna studija. [\[PDF\]](#)
- Müller-Spitzer, C., Ochs, S., Koplenig, A., Rüdiger, J. O., & Wolfer, S. (2021). Less than one percent of words would be affected by gender-inclusive language in German press texts. [\[PDF\]](#)
- Eduardo Bonnin, J. & Anibal Coronel, A. (2021). Attitudes Toward Gender-Neutral Spanish: Acceptability and Adoptability. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
- Ndlovu, S. (2022). Gender intimations in the morphology of some Ndebele personal names. *Personal Names and Naming from an Anthropological-Linguistic Perspective*, 4, 345. [\[HTML\]](#)

- Silva, M. O., de Melo-Gomes, L., & Moro, M. M. (2021). From words to gender: Quantitative analysis of body part descriptions within literature in Portuguese. *Information Processing & Management*, 61(3), 103647. [\[HTML\]](#)
- Michelutti, L. (2024). Racket sociality: investigating intimidation in North India. *Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute*. wiley.com
- Savoldi, B., Gaido, M., Bentivogli, L., Negri, M., & Turchi, M. (2022). On the dynamics of gender learning in speech translation. In *Proceedings of the 4th Workshop on Gender Bias in Natural Language Processing (GeBNLP)* (pp. 94-111). Association for Computational Linguistics. fbk.eu
- Motene, L. (2022). The Gendered Ideologies of Naming Traditional Male Aphrodisiacs in Lesotho. *Nordic Journal of African Studies*. njas.fi
- Hopkins, S., & Zoghbor, W. (2022). Chapter Introduction: Linguistic identities in the Arab Gulf states: Waves of change. In *Linguistic Identities in the Arab Gulf States*. Taylor & Francis. oapen.org
- Syaputri, W., Revita, I., Oktavianus, O., Usman, F., Zulfadhli, Z., Okilanda, A., ... & Wijayanti, R. A. (2022). Game talk: Exploring the linguistic features of sports commentary. *Retos: nuevas tendencias en educación física, deporte y recreación*, (59), 1116-1125. unirioja.es
- Gaido, M., Savoldi, B., Bentivogli, L., Negri, M., & Turchi, M. (2021). How to split: the effect of word segmentation on gender bias in speech translation. arXiv preprint arXiv:2105.13782. [\[PDF\]](#)